REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 6-30 and 41-44 are pending in the application. Claim 16 has been amended to

fix a typographical error, Claims 20-29 and 41-43 have been withdrawn. Claims 31-40 have been

cancelled.

Claim rejections-35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-19, 30 and 44 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

The Examiner mentions that the presence of glyoxal is essential and requests that the claims

specify said essential element. In this regard, the Applicants submit that claim 1 has been

amended in order to define that the composition comprises 0.0001 - 3 % glyoxal. In order to

avoid redundancy, former claim 5 has been canceled. Reconsideration of Examiner's rejections

under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, is earnestly requested.

Claims 4-8 and 18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. The

Examiner alleges that the rejected claims are vague and indefinite for reciting "or polyamine

containing polymer" which broadens the claims. Further, the Examiner also mentions that claim

18 is vague because the claim recites elements that are not cells. The Applicants submit that

claim 4 has been amended in order to delete the objected-to expression. In addition, claim 18 has

been amended to delete the elements which are not cells. Reconsideration of Examiner's

rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, is earnestly requested.

Claim rejections-35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-3 and 13-15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by

WO 02/00272.

U.S. Serial No.: 10/521,524

Response to Office Action Mailed 11/01/2007

Response Submitted to USPTO on March 27, 2008

The Applicants wish to respectfully submit two declarations under 37 CFR 1.132

showing that the reference cited was not by "another", thus disqualifying this document from

being prior art, so rendering moot the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102 (a) over WO 02/00272.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the Examiner's rejection is earnestly solicited.

It is submitted, therefore, that the claims are now in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration of the Examiner's rejections is respectfully requested. Allowance of claims 1-4,

6-19, 30 and 44 at an early date is solicited.

In the event that there are any questions concerning this amendment or the application in

general, the Examiner is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned so that prosecution of

this application may be expedited.

Should any fee deficiencies be associated with this submission, the Commissioner is

authorized to debit such deficiencies to the Nixon Peabody Deposit Account No. 50-0850. Any

overpayments should be credited to said Deposit Account,

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 27, 2008

/Stephen R. Duly/

David S. Resnick (Reg. No. 34,235) Stephen R. Duly (Reg. No. 56,183)

NIXON PEABODY LLP 100 Summer Street, 25th Floor Boston, MA 02110-1832

(617) 345-6057 / 1270

Enc.: Petition for extension of time

Declaration by inventors (2)